
Use of *Azospirillum* and *Azobacter* bacteria as biofertilizers in cereal crops: A review

Essam A. Abd El-Lattief *

Article history:

Received June 10th, 2016;
Approved July 20th, 2016;
Available online: Aug 2st,
2016.

Keywords:

Biofertilizers, *Azotobacter*,
Azospirillum, cereal crops

Abstract

Biofertilizer is a wide term which includes a diverse category of bioinoculants such as nitrogen fixers, phosphate solubilizers, phosphate mobilizers and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Numerous bacterial species have found as PGPR mainly *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* etc. The application of these bacterial species as biofertilizers could be the alternate source of synthetic fertilizers because these bacterial species have great potential to fix atmospheric nitrogen as well as to solubilize the phosphorus in the soil. *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* genera are free-living bacteria and fix atmospheric nitrogen in cereal crops without any symbiosis. They fix 20-40 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen per year. *Azotobacter* sp. also has ability to produce antifungal compounds against many plant pathogens. Thus, biofertilizers containing beneficial organisms are cost effective, pollution free and a perennially renewable source of plant nutrients, making them ideal partners and essential supplements to chemical fertilizers.

2395-7492© Copyright 2016 The Author. Published by International Journal of Engineering and Applied Science. This is an open access article under the All rights reserved.

Author Corrospondence

First Author

Agronomy Department,
Faculty of Agriculture, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt

Introduction

Biofertilizers are a substance which contains living microorganisms which, when applied to seed, plant surfaces, or soil, colonizes the rhizosphere or the interior of the plant and promotes growth by increasing the supply or availability of primary nutrients to the host plant (Vessey, 2003). Biofertilizers add nutrients through the natural processes of nitrogen fixation, solubilizing phosphorus,

and stimulating plant growth through the synthesis of growth-promoting substances. The various microorganisms used as nitrogen-supplying biofertilizers are rhizobium, actinorhizae, azotobacter, and azospirillum. They are used for leguminous as well as non-leguminous crops. Free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria were found to have not only the ability to fix nitrogen but also the ability to release phytohormones similar to gibberellic acid and indole acetic acid, which could stimulate plant growth, absorption of nutrients, and photosynthesis (Fayez et al, 1985). The genera *Azospirillum* and *Azobacter* show generalized occurrence in economically important cultures such as corn, wheat, rice and sorghum, like this being with frequency, in experiments seeking the agronomic utilization as biofertilizers (Döbereiner, 1997; Reinhold and Hurek, 1988; Sundaram et al, 1988). Motsara et al (1995) reported that inoculation of *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* exerted beneficial effect on yield with varying physiological activities, including synthesis of plant growth promoting substances. Furthermore, inoculation of PGPR can increase plant uptake of several other nutrients such as Ca, K, Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn. This uptake usually occurs during acidification of the soil rhizosphere via organic acid production or via stimulation of proton pump ATP ase (Mantelin and Touraine, 2004).

Advantages of biofertilizers:

- 1- Reduce the use of chemical fertilizers.
 - 2- Reduction of environmental pollution.
 - 3- Increase the validity of nutrients and easily absorbed.
 - 4- Excretion of doping substances for growth.
 - 5- Improve the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil.
 - 6- Excretion of some antibiotics that is resistant to some plant diseases.
 - 7- Biofertilizers are not costly and even poor farmers can make use them.
 - 8- Microorganisms convert complex organic material in simple compound, so that plant easily taken up.
 - 9- Enhances root proliferation due to release of growth promoting hormones.
 - 10- Environmentally friendly, cleanse the plant from precipitated chemical fertilizers.
-
- 1- Biofertilizers are sensitive to temperature and humidity changes, hence difficult to store.
 - 2- Their effects are is slower than chemical fertilizer.
 - 3- In rural and remote areas, often it's hard to find a retailer selling biofertilizers.
 - 4- Biofertilizers complement other fertilizers, but they cannot totally replace them.
 - 5- Shortages of particular strains of microorganisms or of the best growing medium reduce the availability of some biofertilizers.
 - 6- Other chemicals should not be mixed with the biofertilizers.

The role of *Azospirillum* in cereal crop production:

Azospirillum is a Gram negative motile bacteria belonging to the order *Rhodospirillales*, associated with roots of monocots, including important crops, such as wheat, corn and rice. *Azospirillum* is the primary commercial phytostimulator inoculant for cereals worldwide. *Azospirillum* can establish an associative symbiosis with cereals but unlike mutualistic symbiosis, the association is not accompanied by the formation of new organs. *Azospirillum* benefits the plant directly, via associative nitrogen fixation, synthesis of phytohormones (notably indole-3-acetic acid, IAA), and modulation of plant hormonal balance by deamination of the ethylene precursor. It is an associative type of microorganism capable of colonizing the root surface of the plants. By establishing a symbiotic association ship, it helps the plants in getting the nutrient nitrogen from the atmosphere.

Inoculation of wheat with *Azospirillum* sp. increased root dry weight and 1000-kernel weight (Jagnow 1990), raised number of spikes per plant, number of grains per spike and grain and straw yield (Darmwall and Gaur 1988, Ozturk et al 2003), N-uptake and N-yield (Bhattarai and Hess 1993) in wheat. Inoculation with *Azospirillum* produced significantly higher grain yield by 29% and the grains contain more N (22.8%), P (59.5%) and K (34%) compared to the control plants (Askary, et al 2009).

A strong increase in total plant and grain dry weight was obtained when maize plants were inoculated with *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Azospirillum brasilense* and *H. seropedicae* in individual experiments, in comparison to plants grown in soils without nitrogen (Riggs et al. 2001). Salomone and Dobereiner (1996) observed significant increase in grain yield of maize on inoculation with *Azospirillum* spp. Swędrzyńska, and Sawicka (2000) found that inoculation of maize crops with an active strain of *Azospirillum brasilense* has a beneficial effect on maize vigor and yield.

Increase in different plant parameters such as height, tiller number, dry matter yield and N uptake of rice plants that inoculated with *Azospirillum lipoferum* (Nayak, 1986 and Murty, 1988). Inoculation of *Azospirillum* sp. to wetland rice under acidic condition improved shoot growth, straw yield and N uptake (Govindan and Bagyaraj, 1995). *Azospirillum* inoculation could significantly increase the growth in terms of height; number of leaf/plant; length and breadth of leaf; and fresh and dry weight/plant of rice plant (Hossain, et al 2015). Inoculation of rice plants with *Azospirillum* has been found to cause significant increases in growth and yield which is equivalent to that is attainable by application of 15-20 kg N/ha (Rodrigues et al., 2008).

Inoculation by *Azospirillum* increased total dry matter and seed yield in sorghum up to 10-30 percentage compared with control (Kapulnic et al., 1981). Effect of different N fertilizer levels and

biofertilizers on forage sorghum indicated that using of 75 kg/ha N (urea), 25 kg/ha N (castor residuum) and inoculation by *Azospirillum* increased the raw protein and quality of forage (Yadav et al., 2007).

Seed inoculation with *A. brasilense* increased the mean grain yield of pearl millet under different agroclimatic conditions in India. Also, the root biomass increased with *Azospirillum* spp. inoculation at 10 and 20 kg N/ha than their corresponding uninoculated controls (Tilak and Subba Rao, 1987). Abdullahi, et al (2014) found that bio-fertilizer (Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Azospirillum brasilense*) + poultry manure recorded highest plant performance viz; plant height, number of tillers/plant, shoots and root dry biomass of pearl millet. Results also showed that bio-fertilizer tended to reduce by half the application rates of organic manure.

The role of *Azotobacter* in cereal crop production:

These are free living bacteria which grow well on a nitrogen free medium. These bacteria utilize atmospheric nitrogen gas for their cell protein synthesis. This cell protein is then mineralized in soil after the death of *Azotobacter* cells thereby contributing towards the nitrogen availability of the crop plants. *Azotobacter* spp. are sensitive to acidic pH, high salts, and temperature above 35°C. There are four important species of *Azotobacter* viz. *A. Chroococcum*, *A. agilis*, *A. paspali* and *A. vinelandii* of which *A. chroococcum* is most commonly found in Egyptian soils.

Besides N₂ fixation, *Azotobacter* synthesizes and secretes considerable amounts of biologically active substances like B vitamins, nicotinic acid, pantothenic acid, biotin, heteroxins, gibberellins etc. which enhance root growth of plants (Rao, 1986). Another important characteristic of *Azotobacter* association with crop improvement is secretion of ammonia in the rhizosphere in the presence of root exudates, which helps in modification of nutrient uptake by the plants (Narula and Gupta, 1986).

Improvement in crop production due to *Azotobacter* inoculation has been reported in a number of crops. *Azotobacter* increases the yield of all the agriculture crop plants about 10-12 % (Jaga and Singh 2010). *Azotobacter* can also able to enhance the growth and grain yield in wheat crop (Kader, et al 2002; Abd El-Lattief, 2014). *Azotobacter* plays a very important role in the growth of plants especially it improves the yield of wheat. The yield of wheat increases when it was inoculated with yeast + *Azotobacter* with 20 m⁻³ fad (Ahmed et al, 2011). Yousefi and Barzegar (2014) indicated that the combined application of *Azotobacter* and *Pseudomonas* increased grain yield, harvest index, biological yield and protein content of wheat by 34.3, 7.7, 12.5 and 13.6%, respectively compared to the controls. *Azotobacter* and *Pseudomonas* inoculation plus fertilization reduced chemical fertilizers application (25-50 %) in the field.

Inoculation of maize plants with *Azotobacter* increased 15 to 35% grain yield over non inoculated treatments (Baral and Adhikari, 2013). Grain yield of maize increased with inoculation by *Azotobacter* (Gholami et al, 2009; Hajnal-Jafari et al, 2012; Naseri et al, 2013).

Azotobacter act as one of the important biofertilizer for rice and other cereals, it can apply by seed dipping and seedling root dipping methods (Kannaiyan, et al 1980; Kannaiyan, 1999; Singh, et al 1999; Rüttimann, et al 2003).

Reddy et al (1977) found that seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* increased grain yields of sorghum by 19.5% in 1975 and 15.2% in 1976. Jat et al (2013) found significant enhancement in grain (26.8 and 28.5%) and stover (11.9 and 13.0%) yields of sorghum when application *Azotobacter* + PSB over no inoculation.

Inoculation of seed with *Azotobacter* resulted higher plant height at time of harvest, yield attributing characters and yield of pearl millet (Patel et al, 2014).

The combined inoculation of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* in cereal crop production:

Rai and Caur (1998) studied *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* and double-inoculation and alone inoculation effects on wheat growth and yield. Double-inoculation of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* had positive effects on grain yield, biological yield and harvest index in various wheat genotypes.

Kandil et al. (2011) studied the effects of inoculation with *Azotobacter* sp. and *Azospirillum* sp. on wheat and observed that inoculated wheat plants gave higher plant height, spike per unit of area, grains per spike, grain weight, biological yield, grain yield and straw yield compared to non-inoculated cultivars.

Among the different inoculations, combined application of *Azotobacter* spp. and *Azospirillum* spp. was found most efficient and resulted in maximum values of plant growth parameter, yield attributing characteristics, grain yield (1.23 Mg/ha), soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC) and dehydrogenase activities at all the growth stages of common buckwheat (Singh, et al 2015)

According to Das and Saha (2007), combined inoculation of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* along with diazotrophs increased grain and straw yield of rice by 4.5 and 8.5 kg ha⁻¹, respectively.

Conclusion:

Biofertilizers as a better supplement can improve the growth and yield of cereal crops. Nitrogen fixing biofertilizers mainly *Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* can able to fix 20-40 kg N/ha and produce growth promoting substances like IAA. Inoculation with asymbiotic nitrogen fixers like *Azospirillum* or

Azotobacter may improve plant growth and yield due to supplementing the growing plants with fixed nitrogen and growth-promoting substances. Hence, it is imperative to popularize the use of biofertilizers, which is a low-cost input technology to reduce the dependence on inorganic fertilizers and contribute to pollution-free atmosphere, which is the need of the day.

References

- Abd El-Latteif E.A. 2014. Influence of integrated nutrient management on productivity and grain protein content of wheat under sandy soils conditions Biolife J. 2(4):1359-1364.
- Abdullahi R., Sheriff H. H. and Buba A. 2014. Effect of bio-fertilizer and organic manure on growth and nutrients content of pearl. ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science, VOL. 9, NO. 10: 351-355.
- Ahmed M.A., Amal G. A. Magda, Mohamed H. and Tawafik M.M. 2011. Integrated effect of organic and biofertilizers on wheat productivity in new reclaimed sandy soil. Research journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 7(1):105-114.
- Askary M., Mostajeran A., Amooaghaei R. and Mostajeran M. 2009. Influence of the Co-inoculation *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Rhizobium meliloti* plus 2,4-D on Grain Yield and N, P, K Content of *Triticum aestivum* (Cv. Baccros and Mahdavi). American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 5 (3): 296-307.
- Baral R.B and Adhikari P. 2013. Effect of *Azotobacter* on growth and yield of maize. SAARC J. Agri., 11(2): 141-147.
- Bhattarai T. and Hess D. 1993. Yield responses of Nepalese spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars to inoculation with *Azospirillum* spp. of Nepalese origin. Plant Soil, 151: 67-76.
- Darmwal N.S. and Gaur A.C. 1988. Associative effect of cellulolytic fungi and *Azospirillum lipoferum* on yield and nitrogen uptake by wheat. Plant Soil, 107: 211-218
- Das A.C. and Saha D. 2007. Effect of Diazotrophs on mineralization of organic nitrogen in the rhizosphere soils of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Crop Weed 3: 69-74.
- Döbereiner J. 1997. A importância da fixação biológica de nitrogênio para a agricultura sustentável. *Biotecnologia Ciência and Desenvolvimento - Encarte especial*, 1, 2-3.
- Fayez M., Emam N.F. and Makboul H.E. 1985. The possible use of nitrogen fixing *Azospirillum* as biofertilizer for wheat plants. Egyptian Journal of Microbiology 20, 199-206.

- Gholami A., Shahsavani S. and Nezarat S. 2009. The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on germination, seedling growth and yield of maize. Proceedings of World Academy of Science. Eng. Tech. 37: 2070-3740.
- Govindan M. and Bagyaraj D. J. 1995. Field response of wetland rice to *Azospirillum* inoculation. J. Soil Biol. Ecol., 15 : 17-22.
- Hajnal-Jafari T., Latkovic D., Duric S., Mrkovacki N. and Najdenovska O. 2012. The use of *Azotobacter* in organic maize production. Research Journal of Agricultural Science, 44 (2): 28-32.
- Hossain M., Jahan I., Akter S., Rahman N., Rahman S. M. 2015. Effects of *Azospirillum* isolates from paddy fields on the growth of rice plants. Research in Biotechnology, 6(2): 15-22.
- Jaga P.K. and Singh V. 2010. Effect of biofertilizer, nitrogen and sulphur on sorghum-mustard cropping system. Proceedings of National Seminar on Soil Security for Sustainable Agriculture held at College of Ariculture, Nagypur (M.S. on February 27-28, 2010).
- Jagnow G. 1990. Differences between cereal crop cultivars in root-associated nitrogen fixation, possible causes of variable yield response to seed inoculation. Plant Soil, 123: 255–259.
- Jat M.K., Purohit H.S., Singh B., Garhwal R.S. and Choudhary M. 2013. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). Indian Journal of Agronomy, 58 (4): 543-547.
- Kader M.A., Miar M.H. and Hoque M.S. 2002. Effects of *Azotobacter* inoculants on the yield and Nitrogen uptake by Wheat. *J. of Biol. Sciences.*, 2(4): 259-261.
- Kandil A. A., El-Hindi M. H., Badawi M. A., ElMorarsy S.A. and Kalboush F.A. H. M. 2011. Response of wheat to rates of nitrogen, biofertilizers and land leveling. Crop & Environment. Vol. 2(1): 46–51.
- Kannaiyan S. 1999. Biological fertilizers for rice production. In: Kannaiyan, S (Ed) Bioresources technology for sustainable agriculture. Associate Publishing Company, New Delhi, pp.1– 29.
- Kannaiyan S., Govindarajan K. and Lewin H.D. 1980. Effect of foliar spray of *Azotobacter chroococcum* on rice crop. *Plant Soil.*, 56: 487 – 490.
- Kapulnic Y., Kigel J., Nur I. and Henis Y. 1981. Effect of *Azospirillum* inoculation on some growth parameters and N content of Wheat, Sorghum and Panicum, Plant and Soil. 61: 65-70.
- Mantelin S. and Touraine B. 2004. Plant growth-promoting bacteria and nitrate availability: impacts on root development and nitrate uptake. J Exp Bot; 55:27–34.

- Motsara M.R., Bhattacharya P., and Srivastava B. 1995. In: Biofertilizer Technology Marketing and Uses -A Source Book cum Glossary. Fertilizer Development and Consultancy Organization, New Delhi, pp.184.
- Murty M.G. and Ladha J.K. 1988. Influence of Azospirillum inoculation on the mineral uptake and growth of rice under hydroponic conditions. *Plant Soil*, 108: 281-285.
- Narula N. and Gupta K.G. 1986. Ammonia excretion by Azotobacter chroococcum in liquid culture and soil in the presence of manganese and clay minerals. *Plant and Soil*, 93: 205-209.
- Naseri R., Moghadam A., Darabi F., Hatami A. And Tahmasebei G.R. 2013. The Effect of deficit irrigation and Azotobacter Chroococcum and Azospirillum brasilense on grain yield, yield components of maize (S.C. 704) as a second cropping in western Iran. *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci.*, 2(10): 104-112.
- Nayak D.N., Ladha J.K. and Watanabe I. 1986. The fate of marker Azospirillum lipoferum inoculated into rice and its effect on growth, yield and N fixation of plants studied by acetylene reduction, N feeding and N dilution techniques. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 2: 7-14.
- Ozturk A., Caglar O. and Sahin F. 2003. Yield response of wheat and barley to inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria at various levels of nitrogen fertilization. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 166: 262-266.
- Patel P.R., Patel B.J. Vyas K.G. and Yadav B.L. 2014. Effect of integrated nitrogen management and bio-fertilizer in Kharif pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.*; 5(2): 122-125.
- Rai S.N. and Caur A.C. 1998. Characterization of *Azotobacter* Spp. and effect of *Azospirillum lipoferum* on the yield and N-uptake of wheat crop. *Plant and Soil* 109, 131-134.
- Rao D.L.N. 1986. Nitrogen fixation in free living and associative symbiotic bacteria. In: *Soil Microorganisms and plant growth*. Subba Rao N.S. (Ed.) Oxford and IBH Pub. Co., New Delhi.
- Reddy K.R., Reddy G.B., Reddy M.R. and Chari A.V. 1977. Effects of *azotobacter* inoculation and nitrogen levels on yield of sorghum. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 22 (4). pp. 203-205.
- Reinhold B. and Hurek T. 1988. Location of diazotrophs in the root interior with special attention to the *kallar grass* association. *Plant Soil*, 110, 259-268.
- Riggs P.J., Chelius M.K., Iniguez A.L., Kaeppler S.M., Triplett E.W. 2001. Enhanced maize productivity by inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria. *Aus J Plant Physiol*; 28:829-36.
-

-
- Rodrigues P.E., Rodregues L.S., Oliveira A.L.M., Baldani V.L.D., Teixeira K.R., Urquiaga S. and Reis V.M. 2008. Azospirillum amazonense inoculation: effects on growth, yield and N₂-fixation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), *Plant Soil* 302, 249-361.
- Rüttimann J.C., Rubio L.M., Dean D.R. and Ludden P.W. 2003. VnfY is required for full activity of the vanadium-containing dinitrogenase in *Azotobacter vinelandii*. *J. Bacteriol.*, 185:2383–2386.
- Salomone G. and Dobereiner J. 1996. Maize genotypes effects on the response to *Azospirillum* inoculation. *Biology Fertilizer Soils*, v. 21, n. 2, p. 193- 196.
- Singh M.S., Devi R.K.T. and Singh N.I. 1999. Evaluation of methods for *Azotobacter* application on the yield of rice. *Ind. J. Hill Farm.*, 12 : 22–24.
- Singh R., Babu S., Avasthe R. K., Yadav G. S. Chettri T.K., Phempunadi C. D. and Chatterjee T. 2015. Bacterial inoculation effect on soil biological properties, growth, grain yield, total phenolic and flavonoids contents of common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) under hilly ecosystems of North East India. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* Vol. 9(15), pp. 1110-1117.
- Sundaram S.; Arunakumari A. and Klucas R. V. 1988. Characterization of *Azospirillum* isolated from seeds and roots of turf grass. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 34, 212-217.
- Swędryńska D. and Sawicka A. 2000. Effect of Inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense* on Development and Yielding of Maize (*Zea mays* ssp. *Saccharata* L.) under Different Cultivation Conditions. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* Vol. 9, No. 6: 505-509.
- Tilak K. V. B. R. and Subba Rao N.S. 1987. Association of *Azospirillum brasilense* with pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* (L.) Leeke). *Biol Fertil Soils*, 4:97-102.
- Vessey J.K. 2003. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as bio-fertilizers. *Plant Soil* 255, 571-586.
- Yadav P.C., Sadhu A.C. and Swarnkar P.K. 2007. Yield and quality of multi-cut forage sorghum (*Sorghum sudanense*) as influenced by integrated nitrogen management. *Indian j of Agron.* 52: 330-334.
- Yousefi A. and Barzegar A. 2014. Effect of *Azotobacter* and *Pseudomonas* bacteria inoculation on wheat yield under field condition. *Intl J. Agri. Crop Sci.* Vol., 7 (9): 616-619.