Inferring User Search Goals with Feedback Sessions Using Clicked Documents for Related Search Recommendation
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Abstract
Query suggestion plays an important role in improving the usability of search engines. Although some recently proposed methods can make meaningful query suggestions by mining query patterns from search logs, none of them are context-aware; they do not take into account the immediately preceding queries as context in query suggestion. Hence, the input queries are normally short and ambiguous. Query recommendation is a method to recommend web queries that are related to the user initial query which helps them to locate their required information more precisely. It also helps the search engine to return appropriate answers and meet their needs. Usually users have ambiguous keywords in their mind to represent their information need. Hence, it is not a good idea to generate relation between user query keywords for recommendations. In this paper, we have presented Related Search Recommendation (RSR) framework, which discovers keywords which are present in snippets clicked and unclicked documents in feedback session. Pseudo documents are generated from feedback sessions which reflect what users wish to retrieve.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Web data keeps expanding and is available in various data forms because of rapid growth of online advertising, publishing e-commerce and entertainment. Although web search technology provides efficient and effective information access to users, it is still a difficult task to search useful knowledge about users needs from their search queries. Users may seek discrete information on a distinct subject, hence may check out various query terms. Users may not have sufficient knowledge on a topic. Therefore adequate terms are not known to retrieve the required information. In Kato et al. Query recommendations are frequently used when (1) a initial query is an exceptional query (2) Single term query is used as input query 3) explicit queries are suggested (4) Suggestions are provided based on modification of input query (5) Various URLs has been clicked by users on the resulting search page. Silverstein et al.derived that users' input query’s average length is 2.35 terms (AltaVista search engines query log). This shows that most of the user queries are short. A short query cannot describe information need of user search and sometimes ambiguous in meaning expression. Some authors have focused on query suggestions by considering users' previous query and click behavior. There are two major issues with query-URLs recommendation: (i) the common clicks on URLs are limited for various queries (ii) though users may click the same URLs for two different queries, they may be irrelevant as that web documents may have different contents. It is necessary to generate useful suggestions by solving these problems. It is required to discover users’ information needs to organize queries with precise meaning. Users’ search log provides information needs from users’ click behavior. If a certain retrieved result is clicked by the user, we cannot conclude that the clicked result is completely relevant to the user query since he has not seen the full document. But the brief description of the document i.e., snippet is shown to the user and is read by the user if he decides to click that document. It can be considered that snippet reflects user's information need.

1.1 Query Logs

Query logs are auto saved data of user activities on search engines servers. It consists of user identity attributes as Session ID, IP address, Time-Stamp, Query string, Number of results on result page and results page number. A relevance click through data also saved consisting of clicked URL, associated query, posisition on results page and time-stamp attributes in the logs. The application used in client side can be modified to handle the query and click through usage logs in the user side computer. It can be very important source for user personalization. A number of other works are investigated in to make use of past navigated log data and queries for mining click through logs data to construct efficient user personalization information. There has been some work related are described below.
a) Language Modeling Approaches  
b) Collaborative Filtering Based Approaches  
c) Machine Learning Based Approaches  

1.2 Click through Data  
Under this we can find out the user clicks based on the old line model. In the evaluation process for identifying the problem to the best of my knowledge and measures are certain. For obtaining click through data, we have developed a web application with click event handler to store the click through event link data using five different users click through activities. We have taken 5 different queries as, “Web Personalization, Deep Web data Extraction, Data Mining for Information Service, Web Information Retrieval and Extracting Quality Data” and integrated with Yahoo search engine for evaluation. Click-through rate (CTR) is the ratio of users who click on a specific link to the number of total users who view a page, email, or advertisement. It is commonly used to measure the success of an online advertising campaign for a particular website as well as the effectiveness of email campaigns.  

Construction: The click-through rate is the number of times a click is made on the advertisement divided by the total impressions (the number of times an advertisement was served).  

$$\text{Click-through rate} = \frac{\text{Click-through (#)}}{\text{Impressions (#)}}$$  

1.3 Online advertising CTR and Email  
The click-through rate of an advertisement is defined as the number of clicks on an ad divided by the number of times the ad is shown (impressions), expressed as a percentage. For example, if a banner ad is delivered 100 times (100 impressions) and receives one click, then the click-through rate for the advertisement would be 1%.  

$$\text{CTR} = \frac{\text{Clicks}}{\text{Impressions}} \times 100$$  

An email click-through rate is defined as the number of recipients who click one or more links in an email and landed on the sender’s website, blog, or other desired destination. More simply, email click-through rates represent the number of clicks that your email generated. Email click-through rate is expressed as a percentage, and calculated by dividing the number of click throughs by the number of tracked message opens. Most email marketers use this metric along with open rate, bounce rate and other metrics, to understand the effectiveness and success of their email campaign. In general there is no ideal click-through rate. This metric can vary based on the type of email sent, how frequently emails are sent, how the list of recipients is segmented, how relevant the content of the email is to the audience, and many other factors. Even time of
day can affect click-through rate. Sunday appears to generate considerably higher click-through rates on average when compared to the rest of the week.

2 Measuring Performance

We first evaluate our proposed framework offline before evaluating other approaches. Then, we evaluate our approach with other approaches and compare with different measuring metrics as Precision, Recall and Fallout Rate.

**Precision (P):** In the field of information retrieval, precision is the fraction of retrieved documents that are relevant to the query. Precision takes all retrieved documents into account, but it can also be evaluated at a given cut-off rank, considering only the topmost results returned by the system. This measure is called precision at n or $P@n$.

$$\text{Precision (P) } \% = \frac{|\text{Number of appropriate and relevant results}|}{|\text{Number of appropriate result}|} \times 100$$

**Recall (R):** Recall in information retrieval is the fraction of the documents that are relevant to the query that are successfully retrieved.

$$\text{Recall (R) } \% = \frac{|\text{Number of appropriate and relevant results}|}{|\text{Number of relevant result}|} \times 100$$

**Fallout Percentage Rate (F):** It measures error rate in the information retrieval. It is calculated as the ratio of Number of appropriate and nonrelevant results against the Number of nonrelevant results. It represented as

$$\text{Fallout (F) } \% = \frac{|\text{Number of appropriate and nonrelevant results}|}{|\text{Number of nonrelevant result}|} \times 100$$

3 Measuring Similarity between two Words

Miao et al., have developed query expansion method based on Rocchio’s model. In this model, proximity information is modelled by proposed Proximity based Term Frequency $ptf$ in the pseudo relevant documents. Expansion terms and their proximity relation with query terms are modelled by $ptf$. This model achieves better performance over position relevance model and classic Rocchio’s model. Hamai et al., have discussed a transformation function to measure semantic similarity between two given words. This approach uses page counts of documents title to measure similarity. This approach outperforms similarity measures defined over snippets. Bollegala et al., have presented an approach to calculate semantic similarity between words. Text snippets are used to obtain Lexico-syntactic patterns from a web search engine. Support vector machine is used to integrate page count based similarity score and
lexico-syntactic patterns to generate semantic similarity measure. This method performs better than Information content measures and Edge counting WordNet based methods. Li et al. have presented an approach to calculate semantic similarity between terms and multiword statement. A large web corpus is used to form an is A semantic network to provide contexts for the terms. The meaning of input terms is formulated by K-Medoids clustering algorithm and similarity is computed with max-max similarity function. This algorithm outperforms multi-word expressions pairs and pearson correlation coefficient on word pairs. Bollegala et al., have developed a relational model to calculate the semantic similarity between two words. Snippets of web pages are used to obtain Lexical patterns. Semantically related patterns are identified by extracted clusters from sequential pattern clustering algorithm. Mahalanobis distance is used to calculate semantic similarity between two words.

4 Query Recommendation Techniques

Song et al., have designed query suggestion method by using users' feedbacks in the query logs. Query-URL bipartite graph is constructed for click and un-click information. Random Walk with Restart (RWR) technique is applied on both the graphs. This framework gives better performance than pseudo-relevance feedback models and random walk models. Kharitonov et al., have focused on contextualization framework for diversifying query suggestion. This framework utilizes the user’s history query, the previously clicked and skipped documents and examines query suggestions. Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) is used as performance evaluation metric. This framework is compared with non-diversified ranking with previous query, ranking with the previous query as a context and clicks and skips as context. Ozetem et al., have developed an approach to learn the probability with machine learning that a user may find a relevant follow up query after executing the input query. To measure relevance of follow-up query probabilistic utility function is used which relies on the query co-occurrence. This approach shows significance improvement over Mutual Information (MI) method. Broccolo et al., Zhang et al., have developed an approach for query suggestion based on query search. This approach constructs an ordered set of search terms drawn from documents to create candidate query suggestions. It builds query suggestions separately for each potentially relevant document. This approach provides more relevant query suggestions for short queries as well as long queries. Gomex et al., Liu et al., have proposed a snippet click model for query recommendation. This model determines information need of users from search logs. The following table shows existed concepts and the comparison of closely related works with our proposed approach.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li et al.,</td>
<td>Suggest topically related web queries using hidden topic model</td>
<td>Provides better query suggestions than URL model and Comparable Results with term feature model</td>
<td>Training dataset need to be generated to find topic of web queries from external data source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al.,</td>
<td>Provide improved query suggestion by query search</td>
<td>Provides more relevant query suggestion for short queries as well as long queries compared to suggestion by query search</td>
<td>User feedback is not considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miao et al.,</td>
<td>Query expansion based on proximity based Rocchio's model</td>
<td>This model achieves better performance over position relevance model</td>
<td>The exact relationship between the window size factor and information of collection is not fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu et al.,</td>
<td>Inferring User Search goals with Feedback Sessions</td>
<td>User search goals can be utilized in query recommendations</td>
<td>Finds Personalized Search goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.,</td>
<td>Provide query recommendation based on snippet click model</td>
<td>Provides more effective recommendations than Baidu and Sogou search engines</td>
<td>Only click information is used to create model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our work</td>
<td>Recommending related search with user feedback session and semantic similarity between words</td>
<td>Provides Semantically related search to inputs and this approach can be extended to generate multiple related words</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: shows the comparison of closely related works with our proposed approach
Generate Enriched Documents from Feedback Sessions: It is not suitable to use feedback sessions directly to obtain meaningful information for suggestions as it may differ for different search history and queries. Usually, users have ambiguous keywords in their minds to represent their information need. Keywords for recommendations. Enriched documents are generated from feedback sessions and this enriched document is used to locate keywords that appear in snippets clicked and un-clicked documents in feedback session.

Generate Pseudo-Documents from Enriched Documents: For a feedback session, each URL is converted into enriched document. This document contains frequent terms that appears in clicked and un-clicked documents. For each feedback session, a Pseudo-Document is generated from its enriched documents.

Generate Optimized Pseudo-Document from Pseudo Documents: The pseudo document reflects both the relevant and irrelevant documents to the users. Optimized Pseudo-document is generated by combining all the pseudo documents for an input query.

Figure 1. An example of feedback session for query bank exam in rectangular box
5 CONCLUSIONS

Semantic Similarity measures between words plays an important role information retrieval, natural language processing and in various tasks on the web. In this work, a new approach is proposed in this of inferring user search goals by using the feedback session and pseudo document. In the feedback session both the clicked and the un clicked URLs ones before last click are stored. Pseudo document is made from mapping of feedback session. We have presented Related Search Recommendation (RSR) to suggest related queries to given input query by using feedback session from user click through log. Each feedback session is converted into enriched documents. Pseudo Documents are generated by combining all the enriched documents of a feedback session. Optimized Pseudo Document is generated by combining all the Pseudo Documents for a given input query, which reflects the user’s information need. In future find out Semantic similarity is calculated by Web Jaccrad, Web Dice, Web PMI and Web Overlap methods for terms present in the optimized Pseudo Document. Recommendations are generated and ranked by combining query and terms for all the methods. In future Recommending related search with user feedback session and semantic similarity between words. Expecting that It Provides Semantically related search to inputs and this approach can be extended to generate multiple related words.

REFERENCES


