



---

## A STUDY ON THE ROLE OF CHANAKYA IN MODERN POLITICS

Sandeep Kumar,

Research Scholar, Kalinga University, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

### **ABSTRACT:**

*Chanakya, the great statesman and philosopher is viewed as the trailblazer of the field of politics and economics in India and is put in the history at standard with Machiavelli, Aristotle and Pluto of West. His books Arthashastra and Chanakya Neeti-shastra is being returned to as an articulate chips away at politics, government assistance, war technique, foreign policy, abundance age and moral aides for the administrator and society all in all.*

*However, practically over 2000 years have passed since Chanakya (350-275 BCE) made ready for the foundation of the powerful and prevailing Maurya Dynasty. The discernment, ethical quality and component of the country and individuals have changed as well as somewhat been very surprising. Along these lines, the paper attempts to investigate whether the lessons of Arthashastra fit into present day majority rule set up.*

*After the decolonization of the sub-mainland, India's conduct in the international community has been very unique and merits the insightful investigation as far as foreign policy analysis. India took on an Uncommitted movement during the cold war, viewed itself as a great power, and requested a long-lasting seat in the UN. These arrangements embraced by India captivate the researchers to make an inside and out analysis of the talk took on by India post-1947 which is by all accounts greatly affected by the philosophy of Kautilya. This paper examines the role of Chanakya Kautilya's philosophy in the modern politics.*

### **Keywords:**

*Chanakya Kautilya, Arthashastr, foreign policy, philosophy, India*



---

## INTRODUCTION

Chanakya (321-286 BC), prominently referred to as Kautilya is regularly alluded as "Indian Machiavelli" in West and is generally bantered for his composition on economics and politics for example "Arthashastra" (written in 300 BC). The strict significance of Arthashastra is "Artha implies riches and "Shastra" signifies Science. The book gives an itemized account of the financial, income, managerial system of fourth century India of the Maurya time frame.

The book is separated into 15 parts comprising of sutras and slokas. The credit to make an interpretation of Arthashastra goes to Teacher Shama Shastry (1905) and later two additional interpretations were finished by Teacher Kangle and Shari Rangarajan. The book as stanzas comprises of numerous experiences and principles in the space of moral philosophy, administration, abundance age, tact, etc. These stanzas have huge and shifted understandings and are as yet valuable.

Chanakya was a researcher of political theory and economics at the Takshashila University situated in present Pakistan. His life and timing is brimming with contention and is known for his straight forwardness and trustworthiness to the degree of savagery.

Lessons of Chanakya Kautilya included on a wide exhibit of subjects, for example, political theory, economics and foreign policy by which he indicates different parts of the characteristics of rulers, administration of the state, social pearls of astuteness and religion which, consequently, delivered him as Pandit Kautilya (Goswami, 2013).

Chanakya likewise is known as Kautilya and Vishnugupta, was the popular Indian philosopher and vital mastermind liable for the fall of the last ruler of the Nanda Dynasty as well as the enthronement of Chandragupta Maurya who was the king of first Indian Empire known as the Mauryan Empire (Subramanian, 2010).

He was a Brahmin by caste and roughly lived during the period 350-275 B.C. There is less data accessible on Chanakya's personal history, in this manner, one can depend principally on tradition and Buddhist and Jain Texts of resulting periods. Essentially, Chanakya's origination is disputable. The Tika Mahavasma a Buddhist specialist referenced Tashasila (Taxila) as his



---

origination while Hemachandra, a Jain essayist, referenced in his book "Abhidhanachintamani" Chanakya, child of Chanaka was a Dramila, an inhabitant of South India (Subramanian, 2010). Kautilya was a king consultant, teacher, vital scholar, and author. He composed Arthashastra and this text partitioned into fifteen books on deferent themes like administration, regulation, and request, tax collection, income, consumption, foreign policy, and guard and war. This paper underscores on the foreign policy for the most part founded from book seven, eleven and twelve.

This paper investigates the different ways of thinking of Kautilya in regards to foreign policy and studies its execution in the current Foreign Policy of India.

## **ROLE OF CHANAKYA IN MODERN POLITICS**

Chanakya was additionally called Indian Machiavelli particularly in the twentieth century when India got freedom. Jawaharlal Nehru writes in his "Revelation of India" Chanakya has been known as the Indian Machiavelli and somewhat, the examination is supported. Furthermore it is valid on the grounds that a great deal of Kautilya's idea likeness with the philosophy of authenticity. He says "One should set aside his cash against difficult situations, and save his better half at the penance of his wealth yet perpetually one should save his spirit even at the penance of his significant other and wealth" (Davis, 2014).

The state resembles a spirit for saving her put everything on behinds and simply secure it implies the state is the primary entertainer as authenticity says. Furthermore somewhere else he says "There is some personal responsibility behind each fellowship. There is no companionship without personal matters. This is a severe truth' it is additionally something similar with the critical presumption of authenticity that personal circumstance when states associate with one another there is consistently personal responsibility behind this activity. Machiavelli, he expressed "Sovereign " which he depicted the characteristics of ruler and approach to overseeing, conversely, Chanakya stated "Arthashastra" and called by individuals kingmaker like Machiavelli (Pillalamarri, 2015). He says "Assuming that the ruler is honest, individuals



---

are upright, in the event that they are delinquents, individuals are additionally miscreants, as a ruler, similar to individuals"

Like this his text loaded with intelligence and other scholarly statements. Something else with respect to his systems Henry Kissinger alludes to the old Indian composition, the Arthashastra, a work that subtleties the power necessities, which is the prevailing reality in politics. For Kissinger, the Arthashastra contained a reasonable perspective on politics well before the Ruler which Kissinger considers "a combination of Machiavelli and Clausewitz". The reason for the referring to is that work on Chanakya,s philosophy not done by Indians yet in addition took on by western authors.

As referenced over this paper will zero in on the philosophy of Chanakya with respect to foreign policy and its execution on current Indian policy. In the time of Chanakya Kautilya when the Mauryan Empire was on the pinnacle of power, there was caused a circumstance similarity of Westphalia like many states arranged to a great extent around the Mauryan Empire. "Chanakya presented by seeing the circumstance a term "rajamandala" its mean circle of states, as per this term unfriendly expresses those that line the ruler's state, framing a circle around it. Thusly, the states surrounding this arrangement of antagonistic states structure one more circle around the circle of unfriendly states (Jindal, 2019). This second circle of states can be viewed as normal partners of the ruler's state against the unfriendly expresses that lie between them" (Jindal, 2019). In simple words as Chanakya says "the foe of my adversary is my companion". Components of this rationale are found in India's foreign policy today, which sees states like Japan and Afghanistan as normal partners against China and Pakistan.

Authenticity is the most predominant hypothesis in the discipline of worldwide politics. The primary supposition of this hypothesis is that the system of the states is revolutionary with the shortfall of more significant position to check and adjust, for this situation, security and endurance of the state is just liability on the actual state (Falode, 2009). As indicated by this hypothesis states just follow up on the foundations of their inclinations and this study shows that Indian foreign policy depends on just personal responsibility with no worried about different countries.



---

### **Kautilya's Foreign Policy Methods**

The really logical part of paper starting now which will be an emphasis on Kautilya,s sixfold foreign policy, methods of war and its executions on current Indian foreign policy (Shamasastri, 2015). Chanakya presented in the "Arthashastra" six different ways of foreign policymaking, which will be talked about in the paper individually.

Samdhi; Making harmony, as per Chanakya state ought to enter a concurrence with the particular condition for quite a while. This technique is utilized when a state is in relative decline when contrasted with different states. For instance, states battled against Napoleon to enter different resemblances with him because of their loss however this understanding finished after Waterloo. Kautilya says "the possibly time a king will make harmony is the point at which he found himself in relative decline contrasted with his adversary" (Shamasastri, 2015). This strategy applied by India when she crushed by China in 1962, she understood that we can't overcome China, so she laid out harmony with China". What's more by taking on one more policy have a decent connection with Japan, and Japan is an adversary of China. It implies harmony with China temporarily however it's another discussion that this time going on draws out. This is an obvious sign of Kautilya's six-crease policy. India is as yet following Kuatilya's approaches to defend their advantage and rout their foes (Khattak, 2011).

Vigraha implies taking up arms: This technique happens when a state is more fortify in power abilities than another state and can undoubtedly overcome through military, strategies, or inside conditions in the country of the foe. Kautilya says "when a king in a better situation as looked at than his foe, he will assault and wage war" (Shamasastri, 2015). King ought to notice the state of adversary state inside and remotely both and when he understood this state can be effectively crushed by my army, he should make a move and wage war. This sort of conduct found in India's policy when she attempted a few times taking up arms against Pakistan because of huge military strength and other powerful capacities. Since the couple of past many years, India and Pakistan almost waged war because of a significant emergency of Main issue at hand (Khattak, 2011). India sped up Basics work out, the biggest military moves in the history of South Asia. A strained circumstance created across the boundaries yet finally, great powers



---

reached out and the danger of the atomic acceleration was sidelined. The psychological oppressor assault on the Indian parliament in 2001, an unmistakable expectation that the two countries might do battle against one another as India guaranteed that Pakistan is liable for this assault and considered it as a danger to their power. Accordingly, India sent around 8, 00,000 soldiers, two-strike corps and weighty arms on its western boundary but since of the trustworthy atomic prevention, the war didn't happen (Drinking spree, 2013)

Asana, sitting idle or lack of bias, is a system utilized where there is no advantage either war or peacemaking. At the point when a state confronted this sort of circumstance she should be separated or settled on a nonaggression treaty. Kautilya says "assuming a king feels that his foe and he are equivalent and neither can hurt the other nor ruin different's undertakings, then, at that point, he will decide to sit idle" (Shamasastri, 2015). It very well may be a significant delay for an express that when she ready to follow through with something? for instance in close past many years, the contention among Pakistan and India particularly the 1987 Main issue at hand and 2001 Mumbai assaults, India acknowledged as of now impractical to win against Pakistan as a result of the atomic prevention, she chooses to sit idle. Non-arrangement policy presented by Jawaharlal Nehru likewise a consequence of Kautilya's idea. He said we are not part of any power, since he understood advantage and damage are equivalent in the present circumstance he chose to sit idle.

Yana, implies getting ready for war or walking and is a strategy about the development of military abilities of the country, and attempted to utilize any sources which lead towards the loss of the foe. On the off chance that the country not set itself up for war, the adversary can get the advantage and obliterated this state. Kautilya says "when a king builds his power and enjoys an exceptional upper hand over his adversary" (Extent, 2015). Increment the power of state eventually a dread develops over the adversaries, and foe state couldn't ready to assault it. India's present circumstance shows this sort of conduct, one can without much of a stretch assess India following Kautilya's policy today. "It is normal that protection spending in India to increment as it seeks after a modernization cycle. As of now, it is assessed that India just burns through \$46 billion on the military from its spending plan, and have wanted to turn into the



---

fourth biggest financial backer in 2020 (Drinking spree, 2013). It is the biggest shipper of military items. India has long range rockets with the reach fit for arriving at all over Pakistan and nearly of China". Albeit in the history a contention had been among China and India, this methodology took on for Pakistan because of the predominance of struggles between the countries. (Khattak, 2011)

Samsraya implies insurance or collusions. As opposed to planning war, this policy underlined that assuming the circle of states has a most powerful state, so partner with it for insurance of own security. Chanakya says "a king seeking a collusion should guarantee that he finds a king more powerful than the adjoining foe" (Shamasastri, 2015) India working effectively on this methodology and making partnerships with the powerful condition of the world to guarantee its security, because of unions India save from sides, powerful states and adjoining countries. India had been made partnerships in the past with US, Russia, France, Israel, and Japan, these coalitions are not just the foundations of signs or agreements she additionally doing with these countries arms exchange, atomic arrangements, and space programs. The reason behind it to undermines Pakistan's national security interests in the area (Khattak, 2011).

Dvaidhibhava meaning the double policy is a system that is utilized to addresses a few states without a moment's delay, by consolidating a few states with the actual state to shape a collusion to battle adversary states. It is making harmony with one state while taking up arms with the other. Kautilya says "the adversary of my foe is my companion" (Davis, 2014), after the terrible loss against China, India halted the war and bury the hatchet with China yet in addition great connection with Japan who is the opponent of China. Chanakya says "Detachment from the spouse, shame from one's kin, and a foe saved in fight, administration to a mischievous king, and mismanaged get together, these a wide range of malevolence, if besetting an individual consume him even without fire" (Extent, 2015). The adversary who made due from the fight it is a reason for hopelessness for the Indians; they are consuming in a fire of vengeance and attempting to make prospects to corrupt China. One more illustration of this policy India entered in relations with Afghanistan, and Afghanistan is an old adversary of



---

Pakistan, so India wipes the slate clean with Afghanistan and attempting to make what is going on in Pakistan through it.

Kautilya additionally portrayed the methods of war and vital India practically following these techniques for war. First is "Open War "its mean announced war and assaulted straightforwardly, India transparently assaulted Pakistan in 1965 and onward. The second is" secret war "and that implies unexpected assault, threaten from one side and assault from the opposite side. India through Afghanistan making what is going on for Pakistan in Fata, Baluchistan and other ancestral regions and then again, making what is happening on the eastern line, so Pakistan confronting dangers from the two sides. The third is "undeclared war" through the agencies, secret administrations, strict and ladies. India's association in Baluchistan in Pakistan, supporting Hindu Tamils in Srilanka, supporting Maoists Separatists in Nepal and supporting Shanti Bahini in Bangladesh, these are the aftereffect of Chanakya,s strategies which are taking on by India. This policy likewise called the forceful expansionist idea which founded on Chanakya's examples.

## CONCLUSION

These are a few arrangements from Chanakya's work, he additionally dealt with different issues of the state like administrations, peace and lawfulness and economy. This paper attempted to inspect those approaches connected with the foreign policy of the state. In this time, India actually follows the philosophy of Chanakya Kautilya as a role model. The time has been changed, a great deal of splendid philosophers died before years and years, they gave new rules and examples for the ages. Why India actually following him, the response is straightforward as each nation has its history specialists and philosopher and they need to follow them however this nation has no power to do that. Whenever India got independence from the English Empire, she recollected her ancestors and devoted opportunity to them. After decolonization, India quickly acted contrastingly in examination with Pakistan.

Indians were greatly roused by the philosophy and proclaiming of Kautilya. With time, India has remembered Chanakya's philosophy for its homegrown and international approaches





---

according to the prerequisites of the conditions. His approaches were not just taken on by Indians rather by westerners also. Henry Kissinger, Secretary of Depository during Roosevelt's system, in his book "World Request" made an examination between Kautilya, Machiavelli, and Clausewitz. What's more he brought up a few significant speculations from the Arthashastra connected with new world request like international system hypothesis, authenticity, and old style authenticity.

It is the consequence of the conduct of India which made interest in the psyche of western, they urge into know what individual or philosophy followed by India. Whenever they came to be aware of Kautilya, eventually they started work on him and brought up some new information. Arthashastra and a few adaptations of this book converted into English and Indian essayists additionally composed a copy of Kautilya's examinations in English. One can't condemn Indians why the state is embracing these sorts of approaches in view of sensible conduct, each state has the option to take on any sort of policy which is great for its advantage. Other provincial countries should think of smart foreign strategies to handle India.



---

## REFERENCES

- Bender, J. (2013). The 11 Most Powerful Militaries In The World.
- Davis, M. (2014). Chanaky Niti -Shastra .
- Falode, A. (2009). The Theoretical Foundation of Realism. Lagos State University.
- Goswami, D. K. (2013). Chanakya and Chandra-gupta Maurya : The affair of a companionship. Jagannath University - Bangladesh.
- Jindal, N. (2019). Relevance of Kautilya in Contemporary International System. International Journal of Historical Insight and Research (IJHIR).
- Khattak, M.-U.-R. (2011). Indian Strategic Thinking: A Reflection Of Kautilya's Six Fold Policy – Analysis. Eurasia Review Journal & Think Tank.
- MCGLINCHEY, S. (2017). International Relations Theory. Bristol, England.
- Pillalamarri, A. (2015, 1 19). Chanakya: India's Truly Radical Machiavelli. Central & South Asia'.
- Proportion, P. A. (2015). Percent: A Privileged Proportion. Review of Educational Research.
- Shamasastri, R. (2015). Kautilya's Arthashastra.
- Singh, B. (2016). India's Neighbourhood Policy: Geopolitical Fault Line of Its Nepal Policy in the Post-2015 Constitution.
- Subramanian, V. K. (2010). Maxims of Chanakya.