

Generalized Banach Space Valued Difference Sequence Spaces and Their Köthe-Toeplitz Duals

Dr. Rajanish Kumar Shukla

Department of Mathematics

V.B.S. Govt. Degree College Campierganj

Gorakhpur (U.P.) India

rajanish_shukla@rediffmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we develop $c_0(\Delta^m, X)$, $c(\Delta^m, X)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$ respectively and determine their Köthe-Toeplitz duals in operator form.

1 Introduction

The scalar sequence spaces $c_0(\Delta^m)$, $c(\Delta^m)$ and $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m)$, where for instance

$$\ell_\infty(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k): \Delta^m x_k \in \ell_\infty\}$$

were defined and studied by Et and Colak [2], which are in fact the generalization of some results of Kizmaz [3] and Et [1].

Let U denote the set of all sequences

$$u = (u_k)_{k=1}^\infty$$

such that $(u_k) \neq 0 (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$. Given any $u \in U$, we write

$$\frac{1}{u} = \left(\frac{1}{u_k}\right)_{k=1}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad p = (p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$$

shall always be an arbitrary sequence of positive reals.

We define the Δ^m difference sequence spaces of Banach space X -valued sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(\Delta^m, X) &= \{\bar{x} = (x_k): x_k \in X \text{ and } \Delta^m x \in c_0(X)\} \\ c(\Delta^m, X) &= \{\bar{x} = (x_k): x_k \in X \text{ and there exists } \ell \in X \text{ such that } (\Delta^m x - \ell) \in c_0(X)\} \\ \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X) &= \{\bar{x} = (x_k): x_k \in X \text{ and } \Delta^m x \in \ell_\infty(X)\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^0 x &= (x_k), \Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1}) \\ \Delta^m x &= (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and so that

$$\Delta^m x_k = \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} x_{k+n}$$

where

$$\binom{m}{n} = {}^m C_n$$

These spaces are Banach spaces with the norm defined by

$$\|\bar{x}\|_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\| + \|\Delta^m x\|_\infty \tag{1.4}$$

Let us define the operator

$$S_m: \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$$

defined by

$$S_m \bar{x} = (0, 0, \dots, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots)$$

where

$$\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

is a bounded linear operator on $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$.

Furthermore, the set

$$\begin{aligned} S_m[\ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)] &= S_m \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X) \\ &= \{\bar{x} = (x_k): x_k \in \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X), x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_m = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is a subspace of $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$ and

$$\|\bar{x}\|_m = \|\Delta^m x\|_\infty \text{ in } S_m \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$$

Definition:

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and (A_k) a sequence of linear but not necessarily bounded operators A_k on X into Y .

Suppose $E(X)$ is a non-empty set of X -valued sequences. The α -dual of $E(X)$ is defined by

$$E^\alpha(X) = \left\{ \bar{A} = (A_k): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\| \text{ converges for all } (x_k) \in E(X) \right\}.$$

A decisive break with the classical approach was made by Robinson [5] in 1950, when he considered the action of infinite matrices of linear operators from a Banach space of sequences of elements of that space. The Köthe-Toeplitz duals for various vector valued sequence spaces have been obtained in terms of sequences of operators by Maddox [4].

Theorem 1.1: The sequence space $l_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$ is a Banach space with the norm defined in (1.4).

Proof: Let (\bar{x}^r) be a Cauchy sequence in $l_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$ where

$$(\bar{x}^r) = (x_i^r) = (x_1^r, x_2^r, x_3^r, \dots) \in l_\infty(\Delta^m, X), \forall r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\|\bar{x}^r - \bar{x}^s\|_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i^r - x_i^s\| + \sup_{k \geq m} \|\Delta^m(x_k^r - x_k^s)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r, s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence we get

$$\|x_k^r - x_k^s\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r, s \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore (x_k^r) is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, it is convergent, i.e.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} x_k^r = x_k \text{ (say), for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since (\bar{x}^r) is a Cauchy sequence, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|\bar{x}^r - \bar{x}^s\|_m < \varepsilon, \forall r, s \geq N_0$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i^r - x_i^s\| \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} (x_{k+n}^r - x_{k+n}^s) \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \forall r, s \geq N_0, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

So we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i^r - x_i^s\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i^r - x_i\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta^m(x_k^r - x_k^s)\| = \|\Delta^m(x_k^r - x_k)\| < \varepsilon, \forall r \geq N_0$$

This implies that

$$\|\bar{x}^r - \bar{x}\|_m \leq 2\varepsilon, \forall r \geq N_0$$

i.e.

$$\bar{x}^r \rightarrow \bar{x} \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^m x_k\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} x_{k+n} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} (x_{k+n} - x_{k+n}^N + x_{k+n}^N) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta^m(x_k^r - x_k^s)\| = \|\Delta^m(x_k^r - x_k)\| < \varepsilon, \forall r \geq N_0$$

This implies that

$$\|\bar{x}^r - \bar{x}\|_m \leq 2\varepsilon \forall r \geq N_0$$

i.e.

$$\bar{x}^r \rightarrow \bar{x} \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^m x_k\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} x_{k+n} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} (x_{k+n} - x_{k+n}^N + x_{k+n}^N) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} (x_{k+n}^N - x_{k+n}) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n \binom{m}{n} x_{k+n}^N \right\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{x}^N - \bar{x}\|_m + \|\Delta^m x_k^N\| = O(1) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\bar{x} \in \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$$

Therefore

$\ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$ is a Banach space. This completes the proof.

Lemma 1.1

$$\sup_k \|\Delta^{m-1}x_k - \Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}\| < \infty \text{ iff}$$

(i)

$$\sup_k k^{-1} \|\Delta^{m-1}x_k\| < \infty$$

(ii)

$$\sup_k \|\Delta^{m-1}x_k - k(k+1)^{-1}\Delta^{m-1}x_{k+1}\| < \infty$$

Proof:

Putting

$$\Delta^{m-1}x_k \text{ instead of } x_k$$

in the proof of lemma

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| < \infty \text{ iff}$$

(i)

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-1} \|x_k\| < \infty$$

(ii)

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \|x_k - k(k+1)^{-1}x_{k+1}\| < \infty$$

we get immediately the above result.

Lemma 1.2.

$\sup_k k^{-i} \|\Delta x_k\| < \infty$ implies that $\sup_k k^{-(i+1)} \|x_k\| < \infty$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Given

$$\sup_k k^{-i} \|\Delta x_k\| < \infty$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 - x_{k+1}\| &= \left\| \sum_{l=1}^k (x_l - x_{l+1}) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^k \|\Delta x_l\| \\ &= O(k^{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k\| &= \|x_k - x_{k+1} + x_{k+1} - x_1 + x_1\| \\ &\leq \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| + \|x_1 - x_{k+1}\| + \|x_1\| \end{aligned}$$

Which gives

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-(i+1)}\|x_k\| &\leq k^{-(i+1)}\|\Delta x_k\| + k^{-(i+1)}\|x_1 - x_{k+1}\| + k^{-(i+1)}\|x_1\| \\ &= O(1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_k k^{-(i+1)}\|x_k\| < \infty$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 1.3.

$\sup_k k^{-i}\|\Delta^{m-1}x_k\| < \infty$ implies that $\sup_k k^{-(i+1)}\|\Delta^{m-(i+1)}x_k\| < \infty, \forall i, m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i < m$

Proof. Putting $\Delta^{m-1}x_k$ instead of Δx_k in Lemma 1.2, we get immediately the above result.

Corollary 1.1.

$$\sup_k k^{-1}\|\Delta^m x_k\| < \infty \text{ implies } \sup_k k^{-m}\|x_k\| < \infty.$$

Corollary 1.2.

$$\bar{x} \in \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X) \text{ implies that } \sup_k k^{-m}\|x_k\| < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.2.

The α -dual of $S_m c_0(\Delta^m, X)$, $S_m c(\Delta^m, X)$ and $S_m \ell_\infty(\Delta^m, X)$ are

$$S_m c_0^\alpha(\Delta^m, X) = S_m c^\alpha(\Delta^m, X) = S_m \ell_\infty^\alpha(\Delta^m, X) = M_m(B(X, Y))$$

where

$$M_m(B(X, Y)) = \left\{ \bar{A} = (A_k): A_k \in B(X, Y), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^m \|A_k\| < \infty \right\} \quad (1.5)$$

Proof: Since

$$S_m c_0(\Delta^m, X) \subset S_m c(\Delta^m, X) \subset S_m \ell_{\infty}(\Delta^m, X)$$

implies that

$$S_m \ell_{\infty}^{\alpha}(\Delta^m, X) \subset S_m c^{\alpha}(\Delta^m, X) \subset S_m c_0^{\alpha}(\Delta^m, X)$$

Therefore we have

(i) $M_m(B(X, Y)) \subset S_m \ell_{\infty}^{\alpha}(\Delta^m, X)$ and

(ii) $S_m c_0^{\alpha}(\Delta^m, X) \subset M_m(B(X, Y))$.

(i) Let $\bar{A} = (A_k) \in M_m(B(X, Y))$ and $\bar{x} = (x_k) \in S_m \ell_{\infty}(\Delta^m, X)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^m \|A_k\| \frac{\|x_k\|}{k^m} \\ &\leq \sup_{k \geq 1} \frac{\|x_k\|}{k^m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^m \|A_k\| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

[using corollary (1.2) & equation (1.5)]

Hence $\bar{A} = (A_k) \in S_m \ell_{\infty}^{\alpha}(\Delta^m, X)$.

(ii) Let us suppose that $(A_k) \in S_m c_0^{\alpha}(\Delta^m, X)$, but $A_k \notin M_m(B(X, Y))$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $(n(i))$ such that

$$\sum_{k=n(i)+1}^{n(i+1)} k^m \|A_k\| > i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $z \in X$ with $\|z\| = 1$.

Define a sequence $\bar{x} = (x_k)$

$$x_k = \frac{k^m z}{i}, n(i) < k \leq n(i+1)$$

$z \in X$ is so chosen as

$$\sup_{\|z\|=1} \sum_{k=n(i)+1}^{n(i+1)} \|A_k x_k\| > 1$$

The choice of such a $z \in X$ is possible.

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|z\|=1} \sum_{n(i)+1}^{n(i+1)} \|A_k x_k\| &= \sup_{\|z\|=1} \sum_{n(i)+1}^{n(i+1)} \frac{k^m}{i} \|A_k z\| \\ &= \sum_{n(i)+1}^{n(i+1)} \frac{k^m}{i} \|A_k\| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}\| \leq \frac{m}{i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus $(x_k) \in s_m c_0(\Delta^m, X)$ but

$$\sum_{n(i)+1}^{n(i+1)} \|A_k x_k\| > 1$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n(i)+1}^{n(i+1)} \|A_k x_k\|$$

diverges, which is a contradiction to the fact

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|A_k x_k\| < \infty$$

This completes the proof.

References

1. Et. Mikhail: On some difference sequence spaces, Doga-Tr. J. of Math. 17 (1993), 18-24.

2. Et. Mikhail and Colak, R.: On some generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow J. of Math. 21(4) (1995), 377-306.
 3. Kizmaz, H.: On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), 169-176.
 4. Maddox, I. J.: Generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 3(3) (1980), 423-432.
 5. Robinson, A.: On functional transformations and summability, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 52 (1950), 132-160.
-