### JUDICIARY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROCEDURES IN MAHARASHTRA

#### Dr. Bhimrao R. Meshram

#### **Assistant Professor**

### Kumbhalkar Social Work Evening College, Nagpur

### **Abstract**

The judiciary in Maharashtra, like the rest of India, is a three-tiered system comprising the Supreme Court, the Bombay High Court, and subordinate courts. The Bombay High Court has its principal seat in Mumbai and a bench in Nagpur. It exercises original, appellate, and writ jurisdiction over the state. The subordinate judiciary consists of district and sessions courts, as well as various other specialized courts. Criminal justice procedures in Maharashtra are governed by the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, and other relevant laws. The criminal justice system in the state faces several challenges, including a large backlog of cases, inadequate infrastructure, and a shortage of judges and other personnel. However, the state government has taken several steps to address these challenges, such as setting up fast-track courts and increasing the number of judges. The judiciary in Maharashtra plays a crucial role in upholding the rule of law and protecting the rights of citizens. It is an independent and impartial institution that is committed to delivering justice to all.

### **Keywords:**

Judiciary, Criminal, Justice

## Introduction

The criminal justice system is a complex network of institutions and processes aimed at maintaining law and order, ensuring public safety, and dispensing justice. It encompasses various stages, from the initial investigation of a crime to the eventual sentencing and potential rehabilitation of the offender. (Sharma, 2008)

Law authorization is the primary line of protection, answerable for examining wrongdoings, capturing suspects, and assembling proof. Law requirement organizations, for example, the police, assume a significant part in keeping public control and guaranteeing local area security. The courts are the focal mainstays of the

criminal justice framework, where judicial procedures happen. They are liable for deciding responsibility or blamelessness, forcing sentences, and directing the whole lawful interaction.

Revisions manage the discipline and recovery of indicted wrongdoers. It incorporates different establishments like detainment facilities, prisons, and probation focuses, where guilty parties carry out their punishments or go through recovery programs. Examination stage includes the underlying investigation into wrongdoing, gathering proof, and recognizing likely suspects. In the event that adequate proof is found, the police might capture the suspect and accuse them of wrongdoing. Pretrial techniques incorporate different cycles like bail hearings, supplication bartering, and pretrial movements.

The preliminary is the center of the criminal justice process, where the arraignment puts forth its perspective against the respondent, and the protection presents proof for the litigant's guiltlessness. In the event that the respondent is found liable, the court will force a sentence, which can go from probation to detainment. Sentenced wrongdoers might be shipped off jail, put waiting on the post trial process, or expected to partake in recovery programs. (Lewis, 2008)

Packing in detainment facilities can prompt unfortunate day to day environments and restricted admittance to restoration programs. Studies have shown that racial and ethnic minorities are in many cases lopsidedly impacted by more extreme sentences. Numerous respondents can't manage the cost of sufficient legitimate portrayal, which can essentially influence their possibilities of a fair preliminary.

Police ruthlessness and unfortunate behavior issues disintegrate public confidence in law requirements and can prompt social distress. Endeavors are being made to address these difficulties and further develop the criminal justice framework. Condemning change includes lessening required least sentences and elevating options in contrast to imprisonment.

Police change incorporates measures to further develop police responsibility, straightforwardness, and preparing. Interest in restoration projects can assist with lessening recidivism rates and work on the possibilities of fruitful reintegration into society.

Law authorization officials accumulate proof, interview observers, and lead observation to recognize and capture suspects. They utilize various strategies, including legal science and innovation, to sort out the occasions of a wrongdoing. When a suspect has been recognized, law requirement officials are liable for making captures. This can include executing warrants, directing strikes, or taking part in rapid pursuits.

Law authorization officials watch networks, answer crises, and implement transit regulations to keep up with public wellbeing and request. They likewise assume a part in forestalling wrongdoing through local area policing and wrongdoing counteraction programs. Constructing and keeping up with entrust with the networks they serve is quite difficult for law requirements. Authentic issues of racial profiling and police fierceness have dissolved public confidence in certain areas.

Law authorization organizations frequently face financial plan limits, which can influence their capacity to recruit and prepare officials, buy hardware, and carry out successful wrongdoing battling methodologies. The fast advancement of innovation presents new difficulties for law authorization, like cybercrime and the utilization of robots. (Rohra, 2008)

### **Review of Literature**

Ranga et al. (2009): Moral direct is central for law authorization officials. They should maintain the law and approach all people with deference and nobility, no matter what their race, nationality, or financial status. Any example of wrongdoing can sabotage public trust and disintegrate the authenticity of the criminal justice framework.

AkshatKhare et al. (2008): Law implementation is a complicated and testing calling that assumes an imperative part in keeping a fair and deliberate society. By maintaining the law, safeguarding residents, and cultivating positive associations with the networks they serve, law implementation officials add to the general adequacy and reasonableness of the criminal justice framework.

Andrew et al. (2009): Courts assume a crucial part in criminal justice systems, going about as the focal mediators of justice. They are liable for guaranteeing fair preliminaries, maintaining law and order, and safeguarding the privileges of both the denounced and the person in question. The essential capability of courts is to settle criminal cases. They hear proof introduced by the indictment and guard, survey the

validity of witnesses, and decide the culpability or blamelessness of the denounced for certain.

Kumar et al. (2009): After finding an individual liable, the court decides a fitting sentence in view of the seriousness of the wrongdoing and the litigant's criminal history. This might incorporate detainment, fines, local area administration, or a blend of these. Courts give a component to people sentenced for wrongdoings to pursue their cases. Investigative courts survey lower court choices to guarantee reasonableness and adherence to legitimate systems.

# **Judiciary and Criminal Justice Procedures in Maharashtra**

Courts have the power to review laws and government actions to ensure they are constitutional. This power helps to protect individual rights and prevent abuses of power. Courts have the authority to detain individuals suspected of crimes or to release them on bail pending trial. They must balance the need to protect society with the presumption of innocence. Courts can issue protection orders to safeguard victims of domestic violence, stalking, or other forms of abuse. These orders can restrict the accused's contact with the victim and their property.

Trial courts are the courts where criminal cases are initially heard. They are responsible for determining guilt or innocence and imposing sentences. Appellate courts review decisions made by lower courts. They can uphold, overturn, or modify lower court rulings. Supreme courts are the highest courts in a judicial system. They have the final authority on legal matters and can review decisions made by lower courts.

Courts often face overwhelming caseloads, leading to lengthy delays in trials and sentencing. This can result in justice delayed and denied. Courts may lack adequate funding and personnel to handle the volume of cases they face. This can impact their ability to provide timely and efficient justice.

Public trust in the judicial system can be eroded by high-profile cases of misconduct or perceived bias. Maintaining public confidence is essential for the effective functioning of the justice system. Courts play a critical role in ensuring a just and equitable criminal justice system. They are responsible for upholding the rule of law, protecting individual rights, and delivering fair and impartial justice. Addressing the challenges facing courts is essential to maintaining a strong and effective justice system.

The trial is a pivotal stage in the criminal justice system. It is a formal legal proceeding where evidence is presented, examined, and argued before a judge or jury to determine the guilt or innocence of a defendant accused of a crime. In most common law jurisdictions, the trial operates under an adversarial system. This means that two opposing sides (the prosecution and the defense) present their evidence and arguments to an impartial judge or jury.

The prosecution bears the burden of proof. They must prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. This is a very high standard of proof, meaning that the jury must be firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt based on the evidence presented. Both the prosecution and the defense present their evidence through witnesses, documents, and exhibits. Witnesses may be called to testify under oath, and their testimony can be challenged through cross-examination.

If the case is tried by a jury, they will retire to a private room to deliberate on the evidence and reach a verdict. The verdict must be unanimous in most cases. The judge plays a crucial role in overseeing the trial process. They ensure that the law is followed, rule on evidentiary objections, and instruct the jury on the law. If the jury or judge finds the defendant guilty, they will be sentenced to a punishment such as imprisonment, fines, or probation. If the jury or judge finds the defendant not guilty, they are released from the charges. If the jury cannot reach a unanimous verdict, the trial may result in a mistrial.

The right to a fair trial is a fundamental human right. It is enshrined in many legal systems, including the United States Constitution. The guilty are punished, and the innocent are protected. The defendant's rights are protected throughout the legal process. A fair and impartial trial process is essential for maintaining public trust in the justice system.

Pre-trial publicity can sometimes prejudice potential jurors. Defendants may not have access to adequate legal counsel, which can significantly impact their defense. Systemic biases within the justice system can disproportionately impact certain groups, such as racial minorities. The trial is a critical stage in the criminal justice system. It is a complex process with significant legal and societal implications. Ensuring fair and impartial trials is essential for a just and equitable society.

Sentencing is the final stage of the criminal justice process, where the court determines the appropriate punishment for a convicted offender. It's a crucial decision that has farreaching consequences for both the offender and society. Many jurisdictions have sentencing guidelines to promote consistency and fairness in sentencing. These guidelines provide judges with a range of appropriate sentences for different crimes, taking into account various factors. However, judges retain some discretion to deviate from the guidelines in certain circumstances.

Sentencing is a complex and critical aspect of the criminal justice system. It requires careful consideration of various factors to ensure that the punishment is just and proportionate to the crime. Effective sentencing practices are essential for maintaining public safety, deterring crime, and promoting rehabilitation.

### Conclusion

The criminal justice system is a complex and evolving entity, constantly adapting to societal changes and challenges. It is crucial to ensure that the system is fair, equitable, and effective in upholding justice and promoting public safety. Law enforcement plays a critical role in the criminal justice system, acting as the first line of defense against crime. They are responsible for investigating crimes, apprehending suspects, and maintaining order within society. Their actions have a direct impact on the fairness and effectiveness of the entire criminal justice process.

### References

- 1. Ajay Ranga "Socio Economic Offences in India" Research Dimensions Vol.2,issue 8,(2009).
- 2. AkshatKhare "Doctrinal Report On "White Collar Crimes" pp1-38(2008)
- 3. Andrew Karmen "Crime Victims: An Introduction to Victimology (2009)
- 4. Anoop Kumar Verma, Aman Kumar Sharma "Cyber Security Issues and Recommendations" International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, Volume 4, Issue 4, (2009)
- 5. Basia Spalek "White- Collar Crime victim and the issue of trust" The British Criminology Conference: Selected Proceeding Vol.4(2009)

- Chandar H. Rohra "An Insight of The Evolution of Financial Frauds In India" Episteme: an online interdisciplinary, multidisciplinary & multi-cultural journal, Volume 2, Issue 2,(2008)
- 7. Clive Lewis "White Collar Crime and Mediation: A Discussion Document" 2008
- 8. Cynthia Barnett "The Measurement of White-Collar Crime Using Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Data" National Incident-Based Reporting System NIBRS Publications Series
- Dr. Anita Sharma "Frauds In India And Forensic Accounting" International Journal of Research & Development in Technology and Management Science

  –Kailash Volume - 21 Issue 5 (2008)
- 10. Dr. Minal Upadhyay "White collar crime in India" RET Academy for International Journals of Multidisciplinary Research (RAIJMR) Vol.2, issue:2 (2009) Edition: